



# **EPA Briefing to the American Petroleum Institute**

**Cynthia Sonich-Mullin**  
**Director, National Risk Management Research Laboratory**  
**EPA staff scientists and managers**

**December**  
**20, 2012**



# Overview

- EPA's Phase V data supplement the information collected over the course of three previous years
- EPA worked in close coordination with USGS, and others on the development of sampling protocols
- EPA employed accepted protocols to collect samples as described in our Summary of Methods and Results



## Background – Pavillion, WY


- In response to citizen complaints regarding changes in well water, EPA Region 8 initiated a ground water investigation in Sept 2008
- Site investigation area is east of the town of Pavillion in central Wyoming, within boundaries of Wind River Indian Reservation
- Ground water from the Wind River Formation is principal source of municipal, domestic, and agricultural water in Pavillion area
  - Entire Wind River Formation meets EPA's definition of an Underground Source of Drinking Water (USDW); 40 CFR 144.3



# History - Phases of Pavillion Investigation

- **Phase I (March 2009) - EPA**
  - Determine presence of potential ground water contamination
  - 35 domestic and 2 town wells sampled
- **Phase II (January 2010) - EPA**
  - Confirm contaminants and quantify Tentatively Identified Compounds (TIC)
  - Also collected production-related samples (e.g., pits, production fluids, gas)
  - 17 domestic wells, 4 stock wells and 2 town wells sampled
- **Phase III (June-October 2010) - EPA**
  - Differentiate potential sources of ground water contamination
  - Installation and sampling of 2 deep monitoring wells and 4 domestic wells
- **Phase IV (April 2011) - EPA**
  - Re-sampling of 2 deep monitoring wells and 11 domestic/stock wells
  - Expanded analyte list– glycols and alcohols
- **Phase V (April 2012) – USGS and EPA**
  - Sampling of MW01 in conjunction with USGS sampling
  - EPA collected and sent replicate MW02 samples to USGS contract lab for analysis
  - EPA also resampled 5 nearby domestic wells and 1 town of Pavillion municipal well

# EPA Monitoring Wells



## Legend

- Domestic Water Wells with Admantane, Methane, and/or DRO Detection
- Hydraulically Fractured Production Wells
- ☆ Pit Monitoring Well
- ★ EPA Monitoring Well
- Production Wells
- Domestic Water Wells

## Map of Study Area

4

<date>

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

*Draft/Deliberative*

EPAPAV0110415

# MW01 & MW02 Well Construction

- **MWs drilled using mud-rotary technique with blowout protection**
- **Total Depth**

**MW01 ≈ 785 ft below ground surface** (screened 765-785 ft bgs)

**MW02 ≈ 990 ft bgs** (screened 970-990 ft bgs)

- **Well drilling and construction followed:**
  - ASTM D 5783 - Standard Guide for Use of Direct Rotary Drilling with Water-Based Drilling Fluid for Geoenvironmental Exploration and the Installation of Subsurface Water-Quality Monitoring Devices
  - ASTM D 6286 - Standard Guide for Selection of Drilling Methods for Environmental Site Characterization
- **MW construction materials consistent with WY Department of Environmental Quality (WYDEQ) Well Construction Standards**
  - Carbon steel casing
  - Stainless steel well screen
- **EPA installed submersible pumps near top of screened intervals to maximize recharge of formation water to well following purging**



## Phase V – MW02 Purging & Sampling

- EPA's RCRA Ground-Water Monitoring Technical Enforcement Guidance document (EPA, 1986) recommends that low yield wells be evacuated to the practical extent possible (but not into the screened interval to avoid cascading of water) and subsequently sampled when sufficient water is present to support analysis
- Approximately 2,014 L (1.0 BHV) of water were purged from MW02 over a period of 6 days prior to sample collection
  - 1<sup>st</sup> purge cycle – 16 April: 1,136 L
  - 2<sup>nd</sup> purge cycle – 18 April: 492 L
  - 3<sup>rd</sup> purge cycle – 20 April: 216 L
  - 4<sup>th</sup> purge cycle – 22 April: 170 L

2,014 L

# Phase V Sampling Overview

- USGS and State of Wyoming entered into a cooperative agreement to sample EPA deep monitoring wells
- USGS included EPA, State and Tribes on Technical Team to collaboratively develop the USGS Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP)
- Pavillion Technical Team discussed sampling procedures and analytical methods and worked toward consensus on approaches

## MW01

- The Technical Team agreed to sample after 1 BHV of purging and stabilization of field parameters
- An additional sample after 3 BHV of purging was added to the USGS SAP
- EPA collected a time series of 10 samples from 1 BHV to 3 BHV

## MW02


- The Technical Team agreed that there was insufficient time to develop a consensus approach for sampling MW02

<date> EPA collected and sent replicate samples from MW02 to USGS contract




# Phase V Summary

MW01



MW02



EPA only analyzed for low molecular weight organic acids, ethoxylated alcohols, and alkyl phenols  
USGS only conducted age-dating of isotopes and radiometric measurements

Analytical methods were not identical and some quantitation limits differ, most notably for glycols

# Results Summary

## **MW01, EPA and USGS**

- Similarity
- Detections

- Hydrocarbons, GRO/DRO, glycols, benzoic acid

## **MW02, EPA and Test America Lab**

- Similarity
- Detections

- Hydrocarbons, GRO/DRO, glycols, benzoic acid, BTEX

## **EPA Results across Phases III/IV/V**



